

## REMARKS

### Introduction:

In accordance with the foregoing, the specification and claims 1, 3-5 and 7 have been amended and claim 6 has been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. No new matter is being presented. Therefore, claims 1-5 and 7 are pending and reconsideration is respectfully requested.

### Incorrect listing of the Office Action in PAIR as a Final Rejection:

Applicant notes that the outstanding Office Action is non-final but was previously listed in PAIR as being a final rejection. Following a telephone conference with the Examiner on December 17, 2007 during which this discrepancy was pointed out, applicant notes that this issue has been resolved.

### Oath/Declaration Issues:

Applicant acknowledges Examiner's comments regarding the declaration and attached herewith a newly executed Combined Declaration and Power of Attorney. Entry of the enclosed Combined Declaration and Power of Attorney is respectfully requested.

### Objections to the Drawings:

On page 2 of the Office Action, the drawings were objected to. However, it is noted that claim 6 has been cancelled and claim 7 has been amended and that, accordingly, the objections to the drawings are moot. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the objections to the drawings be withdrawn.

### Objections to the Specification:

On page 3 of the Office Action, the specification was objected to and a copy of Korean Patent No. 239829 was requested. Responsively, applicant notes that paragraph [27] of the specification has been amended and that the requested document is being provided to the USPTO in the IDS filed currently herewith.

With respect to that IDS, applicant notes that citations to unrelated references have been removed from paragraph [4] of the specification and that it is not necessary to include or make mention of these references in further communications.

Objections to the Claims:

On page 3 of the Office Action, claims 1 and 7 were objected to. However, these claims have been amended and it is believed that the objections are moot. Accordingly, applicant requests that the objections be withdrawn.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph:

On page 4 of the Office Action, claims 3-7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. However, it is noted that claims 1, 3-5 and 7 have been amended and that claim 6 has been cancelled. Accordingly, the rejections are either moot or overcome.

Conclusion:

In accordance with the foregoing, applicant notes that the application is believed to be in condition for allowance and an early and favorable action to that effect is respectfully requested. The Office is invited to contact applicant's attorneys at the below-listed telephone number concerning this Amendment or otherwise regarding the instant application. If there are any charges with respect to this Amendment or otherwise, please charge them to Deposit Account no. 06-1130 maintained by applicant's attorneys.

Respectfully submitted,  
Cantor Colburn LLP

By /Amy Bizon-Copp/  
Amy Bizon-Copp  
Registration No.: 53993  
Cantor Colburn LLP  
55 Griffin Road South  
Bloomfield, CT 06002  
Telephone: (860) 286-2929  
Fax: (860) 286-0115

Date: December 24, 2007